Bereavement during COVID-19: The experiences of those bereaved and the voluntary sector services supporting them

Dr Emily Harrop, Marie Curie Research Fellow, Cardiff University

Dr Lucy Selman, Associate Professor of Palliative and End of Life Care, University of Bristol 24 November 2021





COVID-19 in the UK

- 20% increase in deaths in a year
- 143,000 deaths in the UK since March 2020 (124k in England, c.9400 Scotland)
- In addition to 500,000-600,000 deaths every year in the UK
- If each death leaves c.9 people bereaved (Verdery et al. PNAS 2020), over 8.4 million bereaved in pandemic times
- Significant challenges to those grieving and the people supporting them

Aims and methods

- Document the grief experiences, support needs and use of bereavement support by people bereaved during the COVID-19 pandemic
 Longitudinal online survey of people bereaved from 16 March 2020-Jan 2021 in UK
 Qualitative interviews with survey participants
- 2. Understand the adaptations, challenges and innovation involved in delivering equitable bereavement support
 <u>Cross-sectional online survey of bereavement services (1 March-14 May 2021)</u>;

qualitative interviews with c.10 case study organisations

 \rightarrow Inform end-of-life care processes and bereavement support during and beyond pandemic

Papers

- •Interim report: <u>Supporting people bereaved during COVID-19: Study Report 1</u>, 27 November 2020
- •Support needs and barriers to accessing support: Baseline results of a mixed-methods national survey of people bereaved during the COVID-19 pandemic (Harrop et al. Pall Med, 22 Oct 2021)
- •Place, cause and expectedness of death and relationship to the deceased are associated with poorer experiences of end-of-life care and challenges in early bereavement: Risk factors from an online survey of people bereaved during the COVID-19 pandemic (Selman et al. 2021, Preprint <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.09.21263341</u>)

Survey of bereaved people: Sample characteristics (n=711)

Participants:

- 88.6% female, 10.4% male, 1% other
- Mean age 49.5 years (SD 12.9, range = 18 to 90)
- 4.7% from ethnic minority backgrounds
- Person who died: 55.6% parent, 21.4% partner/spouse 7.6% grandparents
- Time since death: Median = 5 months (Range: 1 day to 9 months)
- 77.6% did not expect their loved one to die (e.g. from a terminal illness)

People who died:

- Mean age 72.2 years (SD 16.1, range = 4 months gestation 102 years)
- Place of death: 58% hospital, 22% home, 13% care home, 5% hospice (1.8% other/don't know)
- Cause of death:
 - 39% confirmed COVID-19, 5% suspected COVID-19
 - 56.2% non-COVID, including cancer (22%)

Experiences of end-of-life care (EoLC)

•22% of respondents said they were 'never' involved in decisions about the care of their loved one; 22% said they were 'always' involved

- •18% said they were not at all informed about the approaching death; 32% said they were fully informed
- •35% felt not at all supported by healthcare professionals immediately after the death; 28% very or fairly well supported
- ■45% were not contacted by the hospital/care provider after the death; 35% were contacted
- ■48% were not provided with any information about bereavement support

Note: Between 12 and 20% answered not relevant to these questions e.g because no HCPs involved, not next of kin

Pandemic-specific difficulties before and after the death

When your loved one died, did you experience any of the following?	⁰∕₀
Unable to visit them prior to their death	54.3%
Limited contact with them in last days of their life	57.8%
Unable to say goodbye as I would have liked	63.9%
Restricted funeral arrangements	93.4%
Social isolation and loneliness	66.7%
Limited contact with other close relatives or friends	80.7%

• 51% of participants had 5 or 6 of these experiences

Risk factors for sub-optimal EoLC & pandemicrelated challenges

1. Place of death (hospital/care home/hospice/home):

- •Deaths in hospital/care home increased likelihood of: being unable to visit prior to death, unable to say goodbye as wanted, limited contact in last days of life (all P<0.001)
- •Deaths in hospice/at home increased the likelihood of: being involved in care decisions (P < 0.001), well supported by healthcare professionals (HCPs) after the death (P=0.003)
- •Hospice deaths increased the likelihood of being given bereavement support information, which was least likely for care home deaths (P < 0.001)

2. Cause of death: Bereavement due to COVID-19:

- decreased the likelihood of: involvement in care decisions (P<0.001), feeling well supported by HCPs after the death (P<0.001)
- increased the likelihood of: being unable to say goodbye (OR=0.348; 95% CI: 0.2 to 0.605), social isolation and loneliness (OR=0.439; 95% CI: 0.261 to 0.739), limited contact with relatives/friends (OR=0.465; 95% CI: 0.254 to 0.852).

3. Expected deaths were associated with higher likelihood of feeling involved, informed, and well supported by HCPs (all P < 0.001).

4. Relationship: Deceased being a partner or child increased the likelihood of knowing the contact details for the responsible care professional (P=0.001), being able to visit (P<0.001) and given bereavement support information (P<0.001).

• Being a bereaved partner strongly increased odds of social isolation and loneliness, e.g. OR = 0.092 (95% CI: 0.028 to 0.297) partner versus distant family member.

Qualitative themes: Communication at EoL

Negative

- Difficulty getting information
- Misinformation: about patient and visiting policies
- Perceived insensitivity
- Lack of involvement in decisions
- Inadequate support following the death
- Positive
- Doing their best
- Compassion and kindness
- Able to visit/spend time with loved one
- Well-informed about patient condition/care
- Hospice and specialist palliative care

(Wife) was admitted there as an emergency and I had to chase for updates all the time. No fewer than ten people promised updates and to get back to me but I received not one call-back. (Bereaved husband ID391)

The two nurses & one of the consultants I had communication with were so empathetic & took their time to explain things & support me in anyway they could during those 5 days. I was aware of how stressed & tired they were but they had a lot of time for me & I'll never forget that. (Bereaved daughter ID016)

Impacts on grieving

- Enduring guilt, anger, sadness at being unable to say goodbye or be with their dying loved one
- Being unable to host conventional funerals or wakes; difficult to find closure or begin to grieve
- Trauma of travelling to crematorium alone, sitting apart and returning to empty houses
- Disruption of emotional support most needed from close family and friends –acute isolation

I felt alone and isolated when she died, unable to grieve properly with my family. *I* met up with my family after her death, but felt it was against the 'rules', [the] funeral was small and [I] still feel we haven't properly said goodbye. So many of her friends often ask when we will we be able to do a memorial service. [It] feels as though her life has gone and [she has] not been fully recognised for the person she was. (Bereaved sister, PID_134)

COVID-19 deaths – Additional stressors

- Ongoing threat of the virus fears of dying of COVID-19 or passing it on to vulnerable family members
- Anger and anxiety over how the pandemic is being handled
- Distress caused by other people questioning the seriousness of the pandemic and not observing social distancing rules

"I fear that the same will happen to me as I'm diabetic and have two autistic sons and I don't want them to go through losing their mum in the same way. I'm terrified of them going to school and getting infected. How can I grieve when I'm terrified and trying to protect them?" Bereaved daughter, ID_012

Please tell us how much help or support you have needed over last 3 months:	High or fairly high level of support needed
Dealing with my feelings about the way my loved one died	60%
Expressing my feelings and feeling understood by others	53%
Feelings of anxiety and depression	53%
Feeling comforted and reassured	52%
Loneliness and social isolation	52%
Dealing with my feelings about being without my loved one	50%
Regaining sense of purpose and meaning in life	47%
Finding balance between grieving and other areas of life	45%
Managing and maintaining my relationships with friends and family	36%
Participating in work, leisure or other regular activities (e.g. shopping, housework)	34%
Getting relevant information and advice e.g. legal, financial, available support	24%
Practical tasks e.g. managing the funeral, registering the death, other paperwork etc.	24%
Looking after myself/family e.g. getting food, medication, childcare	15%

Public health model



Aoun SM et al. (2015) Who Needs Bereavement Support? A Population Based Survey of Bereavement Risk and Support Need. PLOS ONE 10(3): e0121101.

• Predicted (in brackets) and Actual Proportions for the three risk groups (Aoun et al 2015, Australian Survey)

In this study:

Over half (51%) demonstrated high or severe levels of overall vulnerability in grief, assessed via the Adult Attitude to Grief Scale (Severe = 28%, high = 23%, low = 48%)
Only 26% of our respondents with high/severe vulnerability were accessing formal Tier 2/3 support

Only 29% of all respondents felt they **didn't need** bereavement service support "because my friends and family provide me with enough support"

Accessing formal support

•51% were not provided with any information about bereavement support

- •Most had not tried to access support from a bereavement service (59%) or GP (60%)
- •Of those who had sought this support, 56% had experienced difficulties accessing bereavement services (52% for GP)

- •Reasons for not accessing formal support:
 - ➤"I have felt uncomfortable asking for support from bereavement services" (27%)
 - ➤"I do not think it would help me" (18%)
 - ➤"The support I wanted from bereavement services was not available to me" (15%)
 - "I do not know how to get support from bereavement services" (14%)

Accessing support from family & friends

- 87% said they had used support from family and friends to cope
- But 39% reported difficulties getting this support
- "Friends/family have not been able to support me in the way I wanted" (25%)
- "I have felt uncomfortable asking for help or support from friends or family" (19%)

•Main problems related to the pandemic context: difficulty connecting and communicating with friends and family, lack of understanding and empathy

> Whilst friends try to be helpful and kind they don't understand the anger which is also part of this grief... not enough infection control procedures were put in place within our hospitals. (Bereaved daughter, ID 632)

Conclusions & recommendations

- Exceptionally difficult sets of experiences associated with bereavement during the pandemic
- High level of disruption to end of life, death and mourning practices as well as social support networks
- High levels of need for emotional and therapeutic support
- Significant difficulties in getting these met either through formal services or through friends and family

- Increased resourcing, provision and tailoring of services; cultural- and crisiscompetent
- Raise awareness of bereavement support options; provide accessible information and resources after a death – GPs/primary care to signpost
- Help with loneliness and social isolation e.g. compassionate communities, educational and social initiatives

Survey of bereavement services

- Participants from 147 voluntary and community sector bereavement services:
 - 53% served specific counties or smaller regions; 16% were UK-wide
 - 36% were hospice or palliative care services, 15% national bereavement charities or NGOs; 12% local bereavement charities
 - ° 68% provide support following all causes of death
 - 33% after specific causes of death
- Variation in how referrals have changed:
 - 46% demand higher than usual
 - $\circ~35\%$ demand lower than usual



Impact

- 78.2% had changed services due to Covid, with 51.7% introducing new services
- Significant reduction in provision of all face-to-face support including:
 - peer group meetings (50% to 4.1%, OR 0.04)
 - facilitated group meetings (78% to 11%, OR 0.04)
 - 1:1 support (87% to 27%, OR 0.06)
 - specialist intervention (44% to 16%, OR 0.25)
- Online and, to a lesser extent, telephone provision saw major increases
 - Online 1:1 support (8.8% to 83% (OR 50.3)
 - Online facilitated group meetings (4.1% to 56%, OR 30.48)
 - Online specialist intervention (3.4% to 36%, OR 16.01)

Access

- 67.3% reported there were groups with unmet needs not accessing their services before the pandemic:
 - people from BME communities (49%)
 - sexual minority groups (26.5%)
 - deprived communities (24.5%)
 - men (23.8%)
- Compared with before the pandemic, 3.4% of services were seeing more people from BME groups, 52% were seeing the same proportion, 6.1% were seeing fewer
- 38% didn't know/didn't collect this data

Challenges – huge shift to online/ telephone support

- Emotional impact on staff/volunteers increased supervision
- Volume of clients and complexity of needs, higher risk, grief + anger
- Implementing staff training e.g. in provision of online support
- IT use working from home, client access/familiarity
- Financial challenges (52%) including cancellation of fundraising events
- Lack of volunteers able to work
- Access to appropriate facilities e.g. COVID-secure space

Positive changes – reported by over 93% of services

- Widening access/reach
 - those unable to attend f2f
 - some prefer online support e.g. young people
- Introduction of new services
 - bereavement support calls
 - walking groups
 - online relaxation/meditation, remembrance services, bereavement cafés
- Embracing digital technology e.g. enabling *"goodbyes*"
- Initiatives to coordinate services (47%)
 - Changing future practice

Conclusions & recommendations

- Rapid, major shifts in bereavement support provision
- Notable challenges impact on staff/volunteers, operational & financial difficulties
- But almost all services report positive changes
- Over two thirds recognise inequity in who accesses support – BME groups most frequently recognised
- During pandemic proportions of BME clients did not increase despite disproportionate impact

- Carry forward the positives (e.g. coordination) while alleviating the challenges
- Invest in training, staff/volunteer support, digital provision
- Crucial to consider whose needs are not being met
- Assess and respond to unmet needs for formal bereavement support among disadvantaged groups
- Routinely collect client data to determine and ensure equity

UK Commission on Bereavement

- Launched 15 June 2021
- Review of evidence, report 2022
- Policy roundtable, Oct 2021













Commissioners



Chair: The Right Reverend and Right Honourable Dame Sarah Mullally DBE, the Bishop of London

The Commissioners

Lesley Bethel

Simon Blake OBE

The Right Honourable Prof. Paul Burstow

Lesley Goodburn

Rabbi Baroness Julia Neuberger DBE

Dr Donald Macaskill

Professor Anand Menon

Zara Mohammed

Dame Barbara Monroe DBE FRSA

Patrick Vernon OBE

Dr Marilyn Relf

Professor Nichola Rooney

Julia Samuel MBE

Dr Catherine Millington Sanders

Calls for evidence

- Written calls for:
 - Professionals and organisations (including researchers)
 - Individuals bereaved in the last 5 years
 - Close 31 Dec 2021
- Alternative engagement methods for children and young people, people with learning difficulties available on website in coming weeks
- Oral evidence sessions: Dec 2021, Jan 2022

Acknowledgements

Thanks to all the people who have given their time to participate in this research and all our collaborators.

Co-PIs: Dr Emily Harrop, Dr Lucy Selman

Research team: Dr Eileen Sutton, Dr Silvia Goss, Dr Kathy Seddon, Prof Anthony Byrne, Prof. Annmarie Nelson, Dr Damian Farnell, Dr Anna Torrens-Burton, Dr Kali Barawi

Advisory group: Alison Penny, Dr Anne Finucane, Dr Emma Carduff, Dr Linda Machin, Dr Catriona Mayland, Prof Bridget Johnston, Dr Kirsten Smith, Dr Audrey Roulston, Dr Stephanie Sivell, Dr Donna Wakefield

Funding: Economic and Social Research Council via UKRI

Study website: www.covidbereavement.com

